

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,267	03/31/2004	Jos Bastiaens	08CN8851-6	7478	
23413	7590 01/18/2006		EXAM	INER	
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP			ZEMEL, IRI	ZEMEL, IRINA SOPJIA	
55 GRIFFIN R	ROAD SOUTH				
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

1711
DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No. 10/815,267	Applicant(s) BASTIAENS ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Irina S. Zemel	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	IS APPLICATION IN CONDIT	TON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to o this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in confollowing time periods: 	ollowing replies: (1) an amend Notice of Appeal (with appea	dment, affidavit, or other eviden Il fee) in compliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or

a) The period for reply expires ___months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. 📈 -The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🔀 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🔀 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-53. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ____.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New limitation to amended claim 45 requires additional consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicants did not provide sufficient evidence to rebut the anticipation rejections over both Weber or Allen. The evidence provided in rebuttal of Allen reference are not in a form of a declaration, further there is no showin why the evidence could not have been presented earlier since the rejection over Allen was first issued in the first non-final office action. Fuethrmore, the base claims stand rejection under both 35 USC 102 AND (in the alternative) under 103. The obviousness rejection of the base claims over either one of Allen or Weber is not addressed at all, and, thus, stand for the resons of record.

Irina S. Zemel
AU 1711

Aura

Aura